## Appendix A

	Summarised Comment	Sites
Consultee 1	<ul> <li>2 weeks in not sufficient time to comment on robustness of the sites</li> <li>The reliance on sites without planning permission is</li> </ul>	
	unrealistic  Shortfall should be acknowledged	
	Do not support the SHLAA	
	Site related comments	3379
Consultee 2	<ul> <li>SHLAA will not be considered to be credible without HMP support</li> <li>Why is the 5 year housing land supply included in the SHLAA it does not need to be, it could be contained within the AMR, and this would allow the HMP to</li> </ul>	
	<ul> <li>support the SHLAA</li> <li>Council has taken a very optimistic view on delivery of larger sites recently consenting / awaiting S106 a review of the build rate of similar sized sites should be carried out</li> <li>Release of employment land sites for housing development should be resisted - therefore housing on existing employment sites without planning permission should be discounted in full</li> </ul>	
	<ul> <li>Shortfall should be addressed in 5 year supply</li> <li>Actual level of housing need as identified in the LDF evidence is circa 4,000 homes per year</li> </ul>	
Consultee 3	<ul> <li>Shortfall should be acknowledged</li> <li>Shortfall should be addressed in 5 year supply</li> <li>Including sites without permission will frustrate deliverable sites coming forward</li> <li>Including sites without permission is contrary to SHLAA Practice Guidance</li> <li>Including sites without permission is contrary to PPS3</li> <li>Coppenhall will not be built at the rates suggested</li> <li>North of Parkers Road will not be built at the rates suggested</li> <li>Coppenhall will provide 195 homes at most</li> <li>North of Parkers Road will provide 240 homes at most</li> <li>Cheshire East has 3.7 years supply at best</li> </ul>	
Consultee 4	Site related comments     Site related comments	2911 - Shavington Hall 3025 - Maw Green,
	<ul> <li>Site related comments</li> <li>Support HBF calculations for dealing with the undersupply (eg 1,215 per year)</li> <li>Draft NPPF would add additional 20% need to 5 year supply</li> </ul>	Crewe

	Summarised Comment	Sites
	Site related comments	2605 - Heath Road, Sandbach
Consultee 5	Site related comments	2627 - Capricorn, Sandbach
	<ul> <li>Support HBF calculations for dealing with the undersupply (eg 1,215 per year)</li> <li>Draft NPPF would add additional 20% need to 5 year supply</li> <li>Proposed sites could meet this shortfall</li> </ul>	
	<ul> <li>Support HBF calculations for dealing with the undersupply (eg 1,215 per year)</li> <li>SHLAA will not be considered to be credible without HMP support</li> <li>2 weeks in not sufficient time to comment on</li> </ul>	
Consultee	<ul><li>robustness of the sites</li><li>SHLAA sites should be re-examined by HMP</li></ul>	
6	Site related comments	2838 - Forge Mill, Congleton
	Site related comments	2779 - 24 Church Lane, Sandbach
	Site related comments	2211 - Council Depot, Sandbach
Consultee 7	Site related comments	3639 - Leighton West, Crewe
	increased build rates on this site	2422 Dorlando
Consultee 8	<ul><li>Site related comments</li><li>Site related comments</li></ul>	3433 - Parkgate extension, Knutsford 3519 - west of Parkgate Lane, Knutsford
	Site related comments	3518 - land between Manchester Road and Mereheath Lane, Knutsford
Consultee 9	<ul> <li>Concern over including sites that don't have planning permission in the 5 year supply</li> <li>Support HBF calculations for dealing with the undersupply (eg 1,215 per year)</li> <li>Concern over employment sites included in the SHLAA</li> <li>Concern over feasibility of the build rates for IPP sites</li> </ul>	
Consultee 10	Shortfall should be addressed	
	<ul> <li>Question the five year period - why 2012 to 2017?</li> <li>Concern over feasibility of the build rates for IPP sites</li> <li>No justification for departing from standard build rates</li> </ul>	

	Summarised Comment	Sites
	<ul> <li>Concern over including sites that don't have planning permission in the 5 year supply</li> <li>20% discount for small sites</li> <li>Concern over employment sites included in the SHLAA</li> <li>SHLAA will not be considered to be credible without HMP support</li> </ul>	
Consultee 11	<ul> <li>Site related comments</li> <li>Shortfall should be addressed</li> <li>IPP should be reviewed to bring forward sites Borough wide</li> <li>Developable' sites supported by the Neighbourhood should be 'deliverable'</li> </ul>	2320 - Lower Heath, Congleton
Consultee 12	<ul> <li>DCLG Guidance Note on 5-year supply should still be used</li> <li>Shortfall should be addressed in 5 year supply</li> <li>Small sites without planning permission should not be included in the 5 year supply</li> <li>Greenfield sites without planning permission should not be included in the 5 year supply</li> <li>SHLAA and 5 year housing supply are not the same</li> <li>5 year supply does not need to be in the SHLAA</li> <li>Concern over feasibility of the build rates for IPP sites</li> <li>Concern regarding inclusion of sites Subject to S106</li> <li>Site 'suitable - with policy change' should not be included in 5 year supply</li> <li>Concern over employments sites included in the SHLAA</li> </ul>	
Consultee 13	<ul> <li>Site related comments</li> <li>Site related comments</li> <li>Site related comments</li> <li>Site related comments</li> <li>Support SHLAA methodology as put forward by Council - do not seek any changes</li> </ul>	2905 2911 2951 2954
14 Consultee	<ul> <li>Site related comments</li> <li>Site related comments</li> </ul>	3311 3506
15 Consultee 16	Site related comments	3876
Consultee 17	Site related comments	2548
Consultee 18	Site related comments	2549
Consultee 19	Site related comments	2550

	Summarised Comment	Sites
Consultee 20	Site related comments	3892
Consultee 21	Site related comments	3556
Consultee 22	Site related comments	3555
Consultee 23	Site related comments	3544
Consultee 24	Site related comments	2707
Consultee 25	Site related comments	3494
Consultee 26	Site related comments	2367
Consultee 27	<ul> <li>DCLG Guidance Note on 5-year supply should still be used</li> <li>Shortfall should be addressed in 5 year supply</li> <li>Small sites without planning permission should not be included in the 5 year supply</li> <li>Greenfield sites without planning permission should not be included in the 5 year supply</li> <li>SHLAA and 5 year housing supply are not the same</li> <li>5 year supply does not need to be in the SHLAA</li> <li>Concern over feasibility of the build rates for IPP sites</li> <li>Concern regarding inclusion of sites Subject to S106</li> <li>Site 'suitable - with policy change' should not be included in 5 year supply</li> <li>Concern over employments sites included in the SHLAA</li> <li>Site related comments <ul> <li>would like to include site as deliverable and in the 5 year supply - even though no permission</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	3195
Consultee 28	<ul> <li>Site related comments</li> <li>Site related comments</li> <li>Need to address shortfall</li> <li>Draft NPPF would add additional 20% need to 5 year supply</li> </ul>	2321 4016
Consultee 29	<ul> <li>Site related comments</li> <li>4.15 years is an over estimation states appeals as evidence of this</li> <li>Draft NPPF would add additional 20% need to 5 year supply</li> <li>IPP should be reviewed to bring forward sites Borough wide</li> </ul>	2686

	Summarised Comment	Sites
Consultee 30	<ul> <li>2 weeks in not sufficient time to comment on robustness of the sites</li> <li>Shortfall should be addressed in 5 year supply or at least in line with HBF suggestion</li> <li>Allocated sites should be removed from the 5 year supply</li> <li>Sites under construction should remain undiscounted</li> <li>Sites with planning permission should have 10% discount</li> <li>S106 agree with 10% discount</li> <li>Sites without planning permission should not be included within the 5 year supply or if they were in the SHLAA in 2010 and do not now have permission should not be included.</li> <li>20% discount for small sites</li> <li>Build rates should be applied consistently - do not agree IPP site build rates</li> </ul>	3478 - land at
Consultee 31	Site related comments	Welshmans Lane, Nantwich
Consultee 32	<ul> <li>Shortfall should be addressed in 5 year supply</li> <li>Allocated sites should be removed from the 5 year supply</li> <li>Sites with planning permission should have 10% discount</li> <li>Build rates on page 12 are realistic</li> <li>Coppenhall East progressing S106 at present</li> <li>Coppenhall East build rates are correct - 65 dwgs per year by 2 developers is considered reasonable</li> <li>Sites Subject to S106 should be re-appraised but accept that LPA is relying on inputs from owners / developers in terms of this part of supply</li> <li>Sites without planning permission in the Green Belt should not be included in the 5 year supply</li> <li>Greenfield sites should come forward before Green Belt sites (Twyfords, Birtles Road and Woodside Poultry stated as examples)</li> <li>20% discount for small sites</li> <li>Unidentified windfall should be removed from 5 year supply</li> </ul>	2621 - Land off
	<ul> <li>Site related comments</li> <li>Site related comments</li> </ul>	Congleton Road, Sandbach 2901 - Land at Crewe Road, Crewe
Consultee 33	<ul> <li>Housing supply is 3.55 years</li> <li>Shortfall should be addressed in 5 year supply</li> </ul>	

	Summarised Comment	Sites
	<ul> <li>Sites included in the 5 year supply must have planning permission</li> <li>Unallocated PDL sites should have normally have made sufficient progress through the planning system to be considered deliverable</li> <li>Unallocated sites that are not likely to make a significant contribution to the delivery of housing during the 5 years should not be taken into account until they have planning permission</li> <li>No allowance has been made for non-delivery of small sites suggest between 10% and 20%, although as 20% agreed at appeal this should be maintained</li> <li>Sites not included in the 5 year supply at appeal should not be included unless the Council has evidence to demonstrate that circumstances have changed</li> </ul>	
	Site related comments - Agree deliverable	2300 - Land off Brooks Lane, Middewich
Consultee 34	Site related comments - Agree deliverable	2405 - Pochin's Sports and Social Club, Middlewich 2867 - Land south
	Site related comments - Agree deliverable	of Brooks Lane, Middlewich
	Site related comments	3375 - Leighton West (NW) 3376 - Land at
Consultee 35	<ul><li>Site related comments</li><li>Site related comments</li></ul>	North off Parkers Road, Leighton 3377 - Leighton
	<ul><li>Site related comments</li><li>Site related comments</li></ul>	West (SW) 3378 - Leighton
	0) (( )) 1	West (S)
Consultee	<ul> <li>Shortfall should be addressed in 5 year supply</li> <li>Sites without planning permission should not be included within the 5 year supply</li> </ul>	
36	<ul> <li>Build rates should be applied consistently - do not agree IPP site build rates</li> <li>Do not support the SHLAA</li> </ul>	
Consultee	<ul> <li>Do not support the SHLAA</li> <li>Shortfall should be addressed in 5 year supply</li> </ul>	
37	<ul> <li>Further scrutiny should be given to build rates for the IPP sites</li> </ul>	
	<ul> <li>Longer term delivery rates should be increased</li> <li>Reconsider capacities - particularly all flatted</li> </ul>	
	<ul> <li>development sites</li> <li>IPP should be reviewed to bring forward sustainable locations</li> </ul>	
	Allocated sites should be scrutinised	
	<ul> <li>Sites with planning permission should have discount for non-delivery</li> </ul>	

	Summarised Comment	Sites
	For site with S106 - agree with need for discount	
	<ul> <li>Would comment approach that looks to remove sites that have been carried over in the S106 category</li> </ul>	
	20% discount for small sites	
	Shortfall should be addressed in 5 year supply	
	<ul> <li>Further scrutiny should be given to build rates for the IPP sites</li> </ul>	
	Longer term delivery rates should be increased	
	<ul> <li>Reconsider capacities - particularly all flatted development sites</li> </ul>	
Consultee 38	<ul> <li>IPP should be reviewed to bring forward sustainable locations</li> </ul>	
36	Allocated sites should be scrutinised	
	<ul> <li>Sites with planning permission should have discount for non-delivery</li> </ul>	
	For site with S106 - agree with need for discount	
	<ul> <li>Would commend approach that looks to remove sites that have been carried over in the S106 category</li> </ul>	
	20% discount for small sites	
	Site related comments	324 - Canal Fields,
Consultee 39	Site related comments	Sandbach 2921 - Gresty Green Farm, Crewe
	Site related comments	2404 - Former Fisons, Holmes Chapel 3368 -
	Site related comments	Warmingham Lane, Middlewich
	Support HBF comments (10/02/12)	
Consultee 40	Site related comments	2551 - Land west of Padgbury Lane, Congleton
	Site related comments	2546 - Land rear of Padsbridge Farm, Padgbury Lane, Congleton 2545 - Land west
	Site related comments	of Padgbury Lane, Congleton
	Annual requirement for the remainder of the RSS plan	
Plus HBF	period is 1,215 homes each year.	
comments	<ul> <li>5 year supply should be 6,075 homes.</li> </ul>	

## Response

In response to the comments that have been received from the Housing Market Partnership the Council have decided to make a number of amendments to the SHLAA, these comprise:

- The shortfall in housing supply will be acknowledged as
  - 2003-11 Cheshire East net completions = 8,550 dwellings
  - o 2003-11 RSS (1150 per year) = 9,200 dwellings
  - Shortfall to 2011 = 650 dwellings
- This shortfall will be addressed following the methodology proposed by the Home Builders Federation (HBF), that is
  - o Residual Plan Period = 2011-2021 = 10 years
  - $\circ$  Residual dwellings = 20,700 8,550 = 12,150 dwellings
  - o Residual annualised figure = 1,215 dwellings
  - Amended 5 year supply = 6,075 dwellings
- Amendments to sites based on comments and other identified errors
  - Site boundaries amended for sites 2347 and 456 these sites no longer include a proportion within the Green Belt
  - Site 2601 status should be updated to 'deliverable' to reflect its position in the 5 year supply
  - Sites 2928, 3785 and 2946 to be amended to reduce total numbers to deliver 1,000 homes overall
  - Sites 2928 and 2946 to be updated on SHLAA map to developable as identified in report and proformas
  - Site 3639 amend build rates to 80 per year for years 6-10 (400 dwgs in total years 6-10)
  - Site 3433 amend total to 350 and amend to 'available'
  - o Sites 2367, 2549, 2550, 2707, 2911 and 3519 amend to 'available'
  - o Site 3518 amend to 'suitable with policy change', 'available', 'developable'
  - Site 2371 amend to 'suitable if can policy requirements'
  - Update proformas for sites 3375, 3376 and 3377 to include additional information provided
  - Update proformas for sites 2551, 2546 and 2545 to include additional information provided
  - Sites 2545, 2546 and 2551 amend to 'available', 'achievable' and 'developable'
  - o Remove site 3850
  - Update town maps for Crewe, Congleton, Alsager, Sandbach and Macclesfield to reflect changes to sites mentioned above.
  - Potential updates to site boundaries of 2605 and 2627 awaiting further information
- Housing Market Membership List in Appendix A to be updated to reflect most recent mailing lists
- A number of new sites have also been put forward and these will be assessed and included in the next update of the SHLAA.
- Amend text in relation to windfalls and broad locations.

These amendments would lead to alteration to the 5 year housing land supply; this would now be 3.9 years.

In response to other points that were raised during this further stage of consultation the Council would like to make the following comments:

- The Council have assessed in detail all the sites contained within the SHLAA; much
  information has been put forward by landowners, developers and agents and this has
  all been considered during these assessments. The Council are satisfied with all of
  the assessments. The SHLAA has been updated following the consideration given to
  the previous SHLAA document at the Abbeyfields and Hind Heath appeals.
- The Draft SHLAA has previously been considered by the Housing Market Partnership (HMP) SHLAA Task Group providing ample opportunity for detailed consideration to be given to the sites within the SHLAA by a variety of landowners, developers and agents.
- The majority of dwellings within the 'Site without Planning Permission' category now have permissions, are subject to S106 or are subject to a current planning application. The remainder of these sites in this category could easily come forward and be completed within the 5 year period.
  - It should be noted that many of those members of the HMP who did not believe that sites without planning permission should be included within the 5 year supply, did however, believe that their own (or their clients) site without planning permission should be considered deliverable and should be included in the 5 year supply (either now or within previous correspondence with the Council).
- The Council is satisfied that the Standard Build Rates are appropriate for development sites and should be maintained unless further information is provided. Where further information has been provided – for example to highlight that more than one house builder will be used on site. Then the Council are satisfied that this information should be used and alternate build rates applied.
- Notwithstanding that a discount of 20% was applied at the Sandbach Appeals in 2011. The Council is satisfied that a 10% discount to small sites is more appropriate. These are all identified sites and have been assessed for their deliverability as with the larger sites. Where there are known to be issues with their deliverability they have not been included within the 5 year supply and have been assessed to be developable.
  - It should be noted that contrary to some comments submitted there is no allowance for windfall within the SHLAA.
- The period used for the 5 year housing land supply has been based on the requirements of National Performance Indicator 159.
- The Interim Policy on the Release of Housing Land is to be reviewed. This review is intended to provide the Council with greater scope to address the lack of a 5 year housing land supply.